Draft version November 10, 2014
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 5/2/11

arXiv:1407.2536v2 [astro-ph.HE] 7 Nov 2014

IMPLICATIONS OF *Fermi*-LAT OBSERVATIONS ON THE ORIGIN OF ICECUBE NEUTRINOS

Bin Wang[1,2], Xiaohong Zhao[3,4] and Zhuo Li[1,2,5]

Draft version November 10, 2014

ABSTRACT

The IceCube (IC) collaboration recently reported the detection of TeV-PeV extraterrestrial neutrinos whose origin is yet unknown. By the photon-neutrino connection in pp and $p\gamma$ interactions, we use the *Fermi*-LAT observations to constrain the origin of the IC detected neutrinos. We find that Galactic origins, i.e., the diffuse Galactic neutrinos due to cosmic ray (CR) propagation in the Milky Way, and the neutrinos from the Galactic point sources, may not produce the IC neutrino flux, thus these neutrinos should be of extragalactic origin. Moreover, the extragalactic gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) may not account for the IC neutrino flux, the jets of active galactic nuclei may not produce the IC neutrino spectrum, but the starburst galaxies (SBGs) may be promising sources. As suggested by the consistency between the IC detected neutrino flux and the Waxman-Bahcall bound, GRBs in SBGs may be the sources of both the ultrahigh energy, $\gtrsim 10^{19}$eV, CRs and the $1-100$ PeV CRs that produce the IC detected TeV-PeV neutrinos.

Subject headings: cosmic rays - neutrinos: diffuse background - gamma rays

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, IceCube (IC) reports the detections of two PeV neutrinos (Aartsen et al. 2013) and 26 sub-PeV additional events (IceCube Collaboration 2013) within two years operation of IC-79 and IC-86. In comparison with the expected number of 10.6 events from atmospheric muons and neutrinos, the observed flux corresponds to an excess with a signification of 4.3σ (IceCube Collaboration 2013). This may mark the first detection of high energy ($>$ TeV) extraterrestrial neutrinos. Later on the three years of IC data improve the signification up to 5.7σ (Aartsen et al. 2014a). These neutrinos are consistent with a flat energy spectrum, equal flavor ratio of 1:1:1 and isotropic sky distribution. The single flavor intensity of these extraterrestrial neutrinos is

$$E_\nu^2 \Phi_{\nu,\rm IC} \approx 10^{-8} {\rm GeV cm^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1}}, \quad (1)$$

corresponding to a 4π all sky integrated flux of

$$E_\nu^2 J_{\nu,\rm IC} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-7} {\rm GeV cm^{-2} s^{-1}}, \quad (2)$$

from 60 TeV to 3 PeV energy range, and there is a lack of detected >2 PeV neutrinos.

There are many scenarios that have been discussed regarding the origin of these extraterrestrial neutrinos, both Galactic and extragalactic models. The Galactic origins include the point source contribution (Fox et al. 2013), and extended and diffuse sources due to Galactic cosmic ray (CR) interaction with the interstellar medium (ISM) during their propagation (Gupta 2013; Neronov et al. 2013; Ahlers & Murase 2013; Razzaque 2013; Joshi et al. 2014; Lunardini et al. 2013; Guo et al. 2013). Taylor et al. (2014) even propose the diffuse neutrinos from an extended Galactic halo. By assuming Galactic origin, the IC detected neutrinos have been used to constrain the Galactic CR sources (Anchordoqui et al. 2013). On the other hand, extragalactic sources, e.g., gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) (Liu & Wang 2013; Murase & Ioka 2013), active galactic nuclei (AGNs) (Stecker 2013; Murase et al. 2014), and star forming galaxies (He et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2013; Tamborra et al. 2014) have been discussed, as well as extragalactic diffuse neutrinos due to CR propagation in cosmic background photons (Laha et al. 2013; Roulet et al. 2013; Kalashev et al. 2013). The IC detection, assuming extragalactic origin, has been used to constrain the extragalactic CR source physics, e.g., the CR spectrum (Murase et al. 2013), the production rate density (Katz et al. 2013), and the physical condition of the CR accelerators (Winter 2013).

The *Fermi*-Large Area Telescope (LAT) provides a survey of the γ-ray sky from 30 MeV to several hundred GeV with a sensitivity more than an order of magnitudes surpassing its predecessor EGRET. Many more point sources, as well as more precise diffuse γ-ray background, have been detected by LAT. The *Fermi*-Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) complements the LAT in its observations of transient sources, especially gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). In this paper we will use the γ-ray observations of *Fermi*-LAT and GBM to constrain the Galactic and extragalactic origins of the IC detected neutrinos, by assuming the γ-ray and neutrino connection and extrapolation of the γ-ray spectra.

The organization of the paper is as following. In section 2 we discuss the Galactic models, including the diffuse neutrino emission from CR interactions with ISM and extended halo matter (section 2.1) and the neutrinos from Galactic point sources (section 2.2). Our constraint does not favor these Galactic sources. In section 3, we discuss the extragalactic model, especially the GRB neutrino model. Combining with the LAT constraints of triggered GRBs, we do not favor GRB model either (sec-

[1] Department of Astronomy, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, China
[2] Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing, China
[3] Yunnan Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China
[4] Key Laboratory for the Structure and Evolution of Celestial Bodies, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China
[5] zhuo.li@pku.edu.cn

TABLE 1
THE γ-RAY FLUX AT 100GeV IN DIFFERENT GALACTIC REGIONS OBSERVED BY *Fermi*-LAT.

Galactic region	$E_\gamma^2\Phi_\gamma(100\mathrm{GeV})$ ($\mathrm{MeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}}$)	Solid Angle $\Delta\Omega$ (sr)
Local Galaxy	3.552×10^{-4}	10.82
Inner Galaxy	3.748×10^{-3}	0.7773
Outer Galaxy	9.517×10^{-4}	0.9716

NOTE. — The Galactic latitudes and longitudes of the three defined regions are: $|b|>8^\circ$ (local Galaxy); $|b|\leq8^\circ$ and $l<80^\circ$ or $l>280^\circ$ (inner Galaxy); $|b|\leq8^\circ$ and $80^\circ<l<280^\circ$ (outter Galaxy).

tion 3.1). We further propose that extragalactic neutrinos from AGN jets (section 3.2) and SFGs (section 3.3) may be the possible source of IC neutrinos (section 3.2). Finally section 4 is conclusion and discussion.

2. GALACTIC ORIGIN

The first question we need to ask about the IC detected neutrinos is whether they can be produced in the Milky Way (MW), including the contribution by point sources and the diffuse neutrinos from CR propagation. Here we will derive the neutrino flux by extrapolation of the γ-ray spectrum from *Fermi*-LAT observations, and then compare it with the IC detected flux.

Both γ-rays and neutrinos can be produced by the interactions between CR particles and medium matter (pp) or background photons ($p\gamma$). We can simply consider only pp interactions and neglect $p\gamma$ because the background photons are relatively rare and $p\gamma$ time scale is much longer than pp collisions.

In the case of pp collisions, the flux ratio of π^+'s, π^-'s and π^0's is $\sim1:1:1$ at high energies. Neutrinos are produced via charged pion's decay: $\pi^+\rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu + \nu_\mu$, $\pi^-\rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu$. Each neutrino carries one quarter of the pion's energy . Photons are produced via neutral pion's decay, $\pi^0\rightarrow\gamma+\gamma$, and each photon carries one half of pion's energy. The flavor ratio of the produced neutrinos is $(\nu_e+\bar{\nu}_e):(\nu_\mu+\bar{\nu}_\mu):(\nu_\tau+\bar{\nu}_\tau)=1:2:0$, and after oscillation the flavor ratio detected on earth becomes $(\nu_e+\bar{\nu}_e):(\nu_\mu+\bar{\nu}_\mu):(\nu_\tau+\bar{\nu}_\tau)=1:1:1$ (Particle Data Group 2012). The number ratio of γ-rays and each flavor of neutrinos generated via the processes above is $\sim1:1:1:1$, so the relation between the detected fluxes of diffuse π^0-decay γ rays and single flavor neutrinos at energies $E_\gamma\simeq2E_{\nu_\alpha}$ ($\alpha=e$, μ or τ) is

$$E_\gamma^2\Phi_\gamma(E_\gamma)\simeq2E_{\nu_\alpha}^2\Phi_{\nu_\alpha}(E_{\nu_\alpha}). \quad (3)$$

Note that we neglect any attenuation of the γ-rays below 100 GeV, which we use, in the sources and during propagation.

2.1. *Cosmic ray propagation in the Milky Way*

Consider the diffuse neutrino flux from the MW. After the Galactic CRs escape from their sources, they propagate through the ISM. CR particles are being scattered in the Galactic magnetic fields and diffuse away from their sources, interacting with ambient gas. The hadronic interactions produce not only neutrinos but also γ-rays. Given the connection of the neutrino and γ-ray flux, we can use the observed γ-ray flux to predict or constrain the neutrino flux.



FIG. 1.— The extrapolated spectra of diffuse Galactic neutrinos. The red and black lines represent the single flavor neutrino flux of extrapolation with particle indices -2.6 and -2.75, respectively. The green dashed line is the (all sky integrated) flux of IC-detected extraterrestrial neutrinos, $E_\nu^2J_{\nu,\mathrm{IC}}$, and the blue dotted line represents the background of atmospheric muon neutrinos.

Fermi-LAT has provided a deep survey of the whole sky, from which the diffuse Galactic emission (DGE) has been obtained by subtracting the contribution from the detected point sources and the instrumental and extragalactic background from the total flux (Ackermann et al. 2012a). the DGE consists of not only π^0-decay γ-rays but also bremsstrahlung γ-rays of electrons and positrons, IC γ-rays from electrons (positrons) scattering cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons, and other components. Here we consider the total DGE flux as the upper limit of π^0-decay γ-ray flux.

Following Ackermann et al. (2012a), the entire sky can be dived into three regions, so called "local Galaxy", "inner Galaxy", and "outer Galaxy". The LAT γ-ray fluxes in different Galactic regions are shown in Table 1, with the data taken from Figs 12, 15, and 16 in Ackermann et al. (2012a), respectively. The all sky integrated energy flux is the sum of the three regions, $\sum E_\gamma^2\Phi_{\gamma_i}\Delta\Omega_i\simeq7.47\times10^{-3}\mathrm{MeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}}$ at 100GeV.

The observed CR spectrum (Nagano & Watson 2000) is roughly described as a power law with two spectral breaks, i.e., the knee at $\sim$ 3PeV and the ankle at $\sim$ 5EeV. The spectral index is ~-2.75 below the knee, and ~-3 between the knee and the ankle. Beyond the ankle the CR spectrum flattens with an index of ~-2.75, and then a cutoff appears at $\sim$ 50EeV. The ankle feature may suggest an extragalactic CR component starts to dominates. Although the exact CR energy where the transition from Galactic to extragalactic CRs happen is under debates, it is generally believed that the CRs below $\sim$ 1EeV are of Galactic origin.

Both the daughter γ-rays and neutrinos are roughly a constant fraction of the primary protons, $E_\gamma\approx0.1E_p$ and $E_\nu\approx0.05E_p$[6]. Thus the γ-ray and neutrino spectra both follow that of the Galactic CRs. We further assume that the CR spectrum anywhere in the Milky Way is the same as the one observed on Earth. Therefore we set the

[6] Comes from the fact that each pion carries $\sim1/5$ of the primary proton's energy.

diffuse Galactic neutrino spectral index $\alpha = -2.75$ for energies of 50GeV - 150TeV, and a fixed index $\beta = -3$ for energies of 150TeV - 50PeV for simplification.

Assuming that the DGE all comes from π^0-decay photons, with Equation (3) and the all sky integrated γ-ray flux from Table 1, we obtain an upper limit to the flux of the diffuse Galactic neutrinos, $E_\nu^2 J_\nu = \sum E_\nu^2 \Phi_{\nu i} \Delta\Omega_i \simeq 3.74 \times 10^{-6}\mathrm{GeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}}$ (i = Local, inner, ourter) at $E_\nu = 50$ GeV. The extrapolation of neutrino flux from $E_\nu = 50$ GeV to 50 PeV with spectral profile assumed above is shown in Fig. 1, which is significantly below the IC detected neutrino flux, $E_\nu^2 J_{\nu,\mathrm{IC}}$.

We also assume another harder CR spectrum with index of -2.6, then the single-flavor diffuse neutrino flux at $E_\nu = 60$ TeV is $E_\nu^2 J_\nu = 5.31 \times 10^{-8}\mathrm{GeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}}$, which is still about 3 times lower than the IC detected flux. Therefore the IC detected neutrinos can not be produced by CR propagation in the MW, unless the CR spectrum observed on Earth is not universal in the MW, and can be much harder than -2.7, so that the DGE at $E_\gamma > 100$ GeV can be much harder as well. In order to account for the IC detected neutrino flux at $E_\nu = 1$ PeV, the γ-ray spectrum should be extrapolated from 100 GeV with a photon index of $\Gamma \sim -2.3$. Consider a spectral break, corresponding to the CR knee, and the index of $\beta = -3$ above the knee, even harder spectrum below the knee is required, $\Gamma \sim -2.2$.

2.1.1. *Galactic halo*

Taylor et al. (2014) recently propose that CRs produced by a Galactic-center outflow may propagate into an extended Galactic halo of a size $R_h \sim 100$ kpc and a mass $M_h \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$, and lose most of their energy by *pp* interactions. Given the IC flux and the size, the total PeV-neutrino luminosity of the Galactic halo will be $L_\nu \approx 4\pi R_h^2 E_\nu^2 \Phi_\nu \approx 10^{39}\mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}}$. According to our constraint, this requires that the DGE at $\gtrsim$TeV (without background radiation absorption) should be flatten to be an index of -2. This is not in confliction with current observations. However the following argument may not favor this proposal for IC neutrinos.

Let us estimate the total neutrino flux from all the galaxies in the universe since we expect the other galaxies, especially those similar to the MW, also produce neutrinos in their halos. The neutrino energy density in the universe can be estimated to be $u_\nu \approx \xi_z L_\nu \rho_\mathrm{G} t_\mathrm{H}$, where L_ν is the typical neutrino luminosity of each galaxy, ρ_G is the galaxy number density, t_H is the Hubble time scale, and ξ_z accounts for the redshift evolution of the neutrino production rate density in the universe. Thus the neutrino intensity is $I_\nu = (c/4\pi)u_\nu = \xi_z (c/4\pi) L_\nu \rho_\mathrm{G} t_\mathrm{H}$. The star formation rate (SFR) density in the local universe is $\rho_\mathrm{SFR} = 0.015 M_\odot \mathrm{yr^{-1}Mpc^{-3}}$ (Hopkins & Beacom 2006), while the SFR in the MW is $\mathrm{SFR_{MW}} \approx 2 M_\odot \mathrm{yr^{-1}}$ (Chomiuk & Povich 2011), thus we can estimate $\rho_\mathrm{G} \approx \rho_\mathrm{SFR}/\mathrm{SFR_{MW}} \approx 10^{-2}\mathrm{Mpc^{-3}}$. If the neutrino production rate evolves following the SFR density or the AGN activity in the universe, then $\xi_z \sim 3$ (Waxman & Bahcall (1999)). Taking $L_\nu \sim 10^{39}\mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}}$ and $t_\mathrm{H} \sim 10$Gyr, we have $I_\nu \sim 3 \times 10^{-7}\mathrm{GeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}}$. This is more than an order of magnitude larger than the IC observed flux. Therefore in order for the IC excess being contributed by the Galactic halo emitted neutrinos, our MW is required to be either an unique galaxy or acting actively in a special phase.

TABLE 2
γ-RAY FLUXES OF 2FGL SOURCES AT 100GEV.

Source type	$E_\gamma^2 J_\gamma(100\mathrm{GeV})/\mathrm{GeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}}$
Galactic	1.29×10^{-7}
spp	5.77×10^{-8}
pwn	4.04×10^{-8}
psr	1.39×10^{-8}
snr	1.21×10^{-8}
glc	4.01×10^{-9}
hmb	5.06×10^{-10}
nov	1.60×10^{-13}
US	2.58×10^{-7}
extragalactic	1.43×10^{-6}

NOTE. — Following the 2FGL, the abbreviations used for the Galactic source types are: spp: special case (potential association with SNR or PWN); pwn: pulsar wind nebula; psr: pulsar (include both those identified by pulsations and those no pulsations seen in LAT yet); snr: supernova remnant; glc: globular cluster; hmb: high-mass binary; and nov: nova.

2.2. *Galactic point sources*

Next consider the contribution of Galactic point sources by *pp* interactions in the sources. *Fermi*-LAT has detected many new sources in sky survey. The LAT 2-year Point Source Catalog (2FGL) contains 1873 high energy γ-ray sources detected by LAT during the period of August 4, 2008 to July 31, 2010 (Nolan et al. 2012). Among these sources, there are 195 Galactic sources, 1102 extragalactic sources, and 576 unknown sources (US). The spectral shapes of these sources are divided into three types: power law, pow law with exponential cutoff, and log-parabola. We calculate photon fluxes from each source at 100GeV with the given spectral parameters in 2FGL. The total fluxes for different types of sources at 100GeV are shown in Table 2. We obtain that the total fluxes of identified Galactic and US at $E_\gamma = 100$ GeV are $E_\gamma^2 J_{\gamma,\mathrm{MW}} = 1.29 \times 10^{-7}\mathrm{GeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}}$ and $E_\gamma^2 J_{\gamma,\mathrm{MW}} = 2.58 \times 10^{-7}\mathrm{GeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}}$, respectively.

A significant fraction of the USs may be Galactic other than extragalactic sources. We estimate the contribution of those USs that are of Galactic origin to the γ-ray flux on Earth.

According to 2FGL, we show the Galactic latitude distribution of γ-ray flux of identified Galactic sources and USs at $E_\gamma = 100$ GeV in Fig. 2. The US distribution consists of Galactic and extragalactic components. We assume that the Galactic latitude distribution of the Galactic USs follows the same shape of the identified Galactic sources, and that the extragalactic one is isotropically distributed. We should subtract the isotropic extragalactic component from the total US flux to obtain the flux of Galactic USs. By comparing the latitude distributions of the identified Galactic sources and the USs, we can find that the emission at $|\sin b| > 0.1$ is dominated by extragalactic component. Subtracting an isotropic background flux to all the $\sin b$ bins, the expected contribution of USs to the Galactic point source flux is $E_\gamma^2 J_\gamma \sim 1 \times 10^{-7}\mathrm{GeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}}$ at $E_\gamma = 100$ GeV. So the total γ-ray flux of all Galactic point sources (including identified sources and USs) is $E_\gamma^2 J_\gamma \approx 2.3 \times$

10^{-7}GeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}. With Eq. (3), the neutrino flux at $E_\nu = 50$ GeV is $E_\nu^2 J_\nu \sim 1.1\times10^{-7}$GeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}.

We assume that the neutrino spectrum is flat $E_\nu^2\Phi_\nu \propto E_\nu^0$. This may be an optimistic estimate of the neutrino flux because CR spectrum is expected to be flat in a strong shock, and the secondary γ-ray and neutrino spectra follow the CR spectrum. So the expected PeV neutrino flux from Galactic point sources is

$$E_\nu^2 J_{\nu,\rm point} \approx 1.1\times10^{-7}\rm GeVcm^{-2}s^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

not larger than the IC excess.

Following the discussion by Nolan et al. (2012) (their section 5.4), the contribution of USs to the Galactic source neutrino flux can be even lower, and the above conclusion may be more robust: (1) Despite the flux of USs increases sharply in Galactic plane, it is attributable to the relative lack of sources at $|b| < 10^\circ$ in many of the extragalactic source catalogs used for source identification; (2) The USs in galactic plane with curved spectra tend to cluster in bright Galactic diffuse emission regions, suggesting that at least a fraction of them may be DGE maxima, that are not adequately modeled by DGE model; (3) Some USs may be unreal because there are much more fraction (51%) of USs with doubt being a source in contrast to the fraction of identified sources (14%). Moreover, the γ-ray flux may be dominated by electron emission, other than *pp* interactions. In conclusion, the flux of PeV neutrinos from Galactic point sources may be far below that in equation (4), and may not reach the IC excess flux.

3. EXTRAGALACTIC ORIGIN

Since Galactic origins of IC neutrinos are not favored, we turn to discuss the extragalactic origin.

The scenario that the IC neutrinos are cosmogenic neutrinos produced via $p\gamma$ interactions between CR particles and cosmic background photons is disfavored, because normalizing the expected neutrino flux to that observed by IC at 1 PeV leads to an over predicted neutrino flux at EeV range (Roulet et al. 2013; Laha et al. 2013)[7]. We discuss the other extragalactic neutrinos sources below, i.e., GRBs, AGN jets, and starburst galaxies (SBGs).

3.1. *Gamma-ray bursts*

GRBs have long been proposed to be a strong candidate of the source of ultrahigh energy (UHE) CRs (Waxman 1995), and the $p\gamma$ collisions are expected to produce intense neutrino emission around PeV range (Waxman & Bahcall 1997), consistent with the current IC constraint on the neutrino spectrum. It is interesting to check whether GRB neutrinos can account for the IC diffuse neutrinos. In the following, assuming first that GRBs are the IC neutrino source, we estimate the average neutrino flux of a triggered GRB, which should be compared to the upper limit IC puts on the triggered GRBs. By doing this, we should assume that *the neutrino flux from a GRB is proportional to the MeV-range flux.*

For a γ-ray detector monitoring the whole sky with a sensitivity of $p_{\rm th}$, the GRB trigger rate is

$$\dot N_{\rm trig} = \int_0^{z_{\rm max}} \frac{R(z)}{1+z}\frac{dV}{dz}dz \int_{p_{\rm th}4\pi D_L^2 k(z)}^\infty \phi(L)dL, \quad (5)$$

where $R(z)$ is the redshift dependence of GRB rate density, $\phi(L)$ is the GRB luminosity function, D_L is the GRB luminosity distance, dV/dz is the volume-redshift relation of the universe, and $k(z)$ corresponds to k-correction, depending on GRB spectrum and detector energy range (see below). The (time averaged) γ-ray flux from triggered GRBs is

$$\Phi_{\rm trig} = \int_0^{z_{\rm max}} \frac{R(z)}{4\pi D_L^2(1+z)}\frac{dV}{dz}dz \int_{p_{\rm th}4\pi D_L^2 k(z)}^\infty E(L)\phi(L)dL, \quad (6)$$

whereas the total one, including the contribution from untriggered GRBs is

$$\Phi_{\rm tot} = \int_0^{z_{\rm max}} \frac{R(z)}{4\pi D_L^2(1+z)}\frac{dV}{dz}dz \int_0^\infty E(L)\phi(L)dL. \quad (7)$$

Here E is the GRB energy, for which we simply assume $E \propto L$.

Recently the GRB rate density and luminosity function have been well constrained by using the large sample of *Swift* GRBs with redshift measurement (Lien et al. 2014):

$$R(z) = R(0)\begin{cases}(1+z)^{n_1} & z < z_1\\ (1+z_1)^{n_1-n_2}(1+z)^{n_2} & z \ge z_1\end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$\phi(L) = \phi_0\begin{cases}(L/L_*)^x & L < L_*\\ (L/L_*)^y & L \ge L_*\end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $R(0) = 0.84\rm Gpc^{-3}yr^{-1}$, $z_1 = 3.6$, $n_1 = 2.07$, $n_2 = -0.7$, $L_* = 10^{52.05}\rm erg\,s^{-1}$, $x = -0.65$, $y = -3$, and ϕ_0 is defined such that $\int_0^\infty \phi(L)dL \equiv 1$. For *Fermi*-GBM the threshold is $p_{\rm th} = 0.71$ photons cm^{-2}s^{-1} in the energy range of 50-300 keV (Meegan et al. 2009). In this case we write

$$k(z) = \frac{\int_{1keV}^{10MeV}\epsilon n(\epsilon)d\epsilon}{\int_{50keV(1+z)}^{300keV(1+z)} n(\epsilon)d\epsilon}, \quad (10)$$

where $n(\epsilon)$ is the GRB spectrum in the rest frame, for which we assume a broken power law with photon indices of $\alpha = -1$ and $\beta = -2.2$ and a sharp break at $\epsilon_{\rm break} = 511$ keV. We will take $z_{\rm max} = 8$ and assume a flat ΛCDM universe with $\Omega_m = 0.27$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$, and $H_0 = 71\rm km\,s^{-1}Mpc^{-1}$.

The GBM trigger threshold is $p_{\rm th} = 0.71$ photons cm^{-2}s^{-1} (Meegan et al. 2009). There were 183 GRBs triggered between 2008 July 11 and 2009 March 31, corresponding to a GRB trigger rate of ~ 260 burst yr^{-1} (Meegan et al. 2009). Taking into account the Earth occultation and the South Atlantic Anomaly passage, only 65% of GRBs above the GBM threshold can be detected (Liu & Wang 2013). So for a detector monitoring all sky with the GBM threshold, the trigger rate should be $\dot N_{\rm trig} \approx 400 yr^{-1}$. On the other hand, if $p_{\rm th}$ =0.7, 0.5, and 0.3 photons cm^{-2}s^{-1}, we can calculate with the above formula that $\dot N_{\rm trig}$ =220, 306, and 480 yr^{-1},

[7] CR propagation in CMB may still produce IC neutrinos in some specific cases (Kalashev et al. 2013).



FIG. 2.— The Galactic latitude distribution of the γ-ray flux at 100 GeV from identified Galactic sources (*left*) and USs (*right*). The point sources are separated into bins with equal $\Delta \sin(b)$, so that the isotropic distribution corresponds to an uniform distribution along $\sin(b)$ bins.

and $\Phi_{\rm trig}/\Phi_{\rm tot}$ =0.71, 0.75, and 0.8, respectively. To obtain the GBM trigger rate $\dot{N}_{\rm trig} = 400 yr^{-1}$ one needs $p_{\rm th} = 0.37$ photons cm^{-2}s^{-1}.

Taking $\dot{N}_{\rm trig} = 400$ yr^{-1} and $\Phi_{\rm trig}/\Phi_{\rm tot} = 0.7$, if GRBs can account for the IC neutrino flux $f_{\rm IC} = E_\nu^2 J_{\nu,\rm IC} \log(2{\rm PeV}/60{\rm TeV}) = 2.3\times10^{-7}{\rm GeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}}$ (4π integrated and single flavor), the average neutrino fluence of a GBM-triggered GRB is required to be

$$F_{\rm trig} = \frac{f_{\rm IC}}{\dot{N}_{\rm trig}}\frac{\Phi_{\rm trig}}{\Phi_{\rm tot}} = 1.3\times10^{-2}\frac{\Phi_{\rm trig}}{0.7\Phi_{\rm tot}}\frac{400{\rm yr}^{-1}}{\dot{N}_{\rm trig}}{\rm GeVcm^{-2}}. \quad (11)$$

The $p\gamma$ interactions produce not only neutrinos but also γ-rays, which generate electromagnetic cascade emission in GeV energy range, which can be observed by *Fermi*-LAT. Using the neutrino and γ-ray connection, the *Fermi*-LAT observations of GRBs help to constrain that the average neutrino fluence from a GBM triggered GRB is (Li 2013)

$$F_{\rm LAT-bound} \sim 2\times10^{-3}{\rm GeVcm^{-2}}, \quad (12)$$

smaller than the required neutrino flux (equation 11). The IC has also given an upper limit to the neutrino fluence from a triggered GRB (averaged over 215 GRBs), $F_{\rm IC-bound} \approx E^2F_\nu \times \log(10)/215 = 7\times10^{-4}{\rm GeVcm^{-2}}$ (using $E^2F_\nu \approx 0.15{\rm GeVcm^{-2}}$; see Fig.1 in Abbasi et al. (2012)). This is also smaller than required, although the comparison is not straightforward because these 215 GRBs include not only those detected by GBM but also the other detectors.

Thus we reach the conclusion that GRB neutrinos may not account for the IC detected neutrinos (though the other GRB neutrino models that cannot be constrained by the neutrino-γ-ray connection may still work (Murase & Ioka 2013)).

It may be noted that the reported GBM threshold and GRB detection rate seem not completely consistent with the GRB redshift and luminosity distributions derived by Lien et al. (2014). However our result of using $\dot{N}_{\rm trig} = 400$ yr^{-1} and $\Phi_{\rm trig}/\Phi_{\rm tot} = 0.7$ is robust since $\Phi_{\rm trig}/\Phi_{\rm tot} \sim 0.7$ is not sensitive to $p_{\rm th}$ and taking $\dot{N}_{\rm trig} \sim 200$ yr^{-1} (for GBM threshold value) even enhances the neutrino emission (eq (11)).

Our conclusion is similar to Liu & Wang (2013), but the main difference in between is the following. Liu & Wang (2013) use several assumptions of the GRB model, e.g., the jet Lorentz factor, the variability timescale, the fraction of energy in accelerated protons, etc., in order to calculate the neutrino production. Here we only need to assume that the neutrino flux is proportional to the γ-ray one (eq (11)). This may be reasonable given that the MeV γ-rays essentially carry away all the energy of electrons which probably carry some constant fraction of the total jet energy, and that the neutrinos carry away some constant fraction of the energy of protons which also may carry some constant fraction of the total jet energy. These may be true in a statistical point of view, although it may not hold for individual GRBs.

3.2. *Jets of active galactic nuclei*

AGN jets have long been predicted to be high energy CR and neutrino sources, and the dominant contribution of neutrino emission may be quasar hosted blazars, in particular, the flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQ; e.g., Murase et al. 2014), where the high energy neutrino production is due to photopion interactions between jet produced CRs and the external broadline and dust radiation.

FSRQs are also bright in γ-ray emission, which is possible to be produced by the primary electrons accelerated in the jets accompanying the production of high energy CRs. We may use the *Fermi*-LAT observations of FSRQs to make constraint on neutrino production. Ajello et al. (2012) has reported the luminosity function and redshift evolution of the *Fermi*detected FSRQs, which imply that the diffuse γ-ray flux from FSRQs is $4.1\times10^{-6}{\rm MeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}}$ from ~ 0.1 MeV to 10 GeV range (Figure 11 therein), i.e., a whole sky integrated flux of

$$f_\gamma = 5.1\times10^{-5}{\rm GeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}}. \quad (13)$$

Compared with IC flux we have the ratio of neutrino to γ-ray flux, $r_{\nu/\gamma} = 3f_{IC}/f_\gamma \simeq 1.4\times10^{-2}$, where the factor 3 comes from the equal flux in the three neu-

trino flavors. This ratio is consistent with the estimated photomeson production efficiency for CR protons above the threshold for interacting with the broadline emission, $f_{p\gamma} \sim 5\times10^{-2} f_{\rm cov,-1} L_{\rm AD,46.5}^{1/2}$, where $f_{\rm cov}$ is the cover factor of the broadline emission region and $L_{\rm AD}$ is the accretion disk luminosity (Murase et al. 2014), if jet-produced high energy electrons and CRs have comparable energies and a significant fraction of CRs lies above the threshold of photopion production. Therefore, FSRQs may produce diffuse neutrinos with a flux comparable to IC detection.

However, AGN jets may have difficulty in producing the detected, flat neutrino spectrum from tens TeV to few PeV (Winter 2013; Murase et al. 2014). Because the low energy radiation peaks at infrared to UV range, the photopion interactions tend to produce high neutrino flux at >PeV, in contrast to the IC observation which appears as lack of neutrinos above few PeV. Because of the decreasing radiation above UV frequency, the predicted neutrino flux decreases fast below PeV range, also in contrast to the IC observation. Thus the $\lesssim$ 100TeV neutrinos may need the other origins instead of AGNs, and future observations at EeV range are needed to test the high neutrino flux from AGN jets.

3.3. *Starburst galaxies*

Starburst galaxies (SBGs) have been expected to be promising neutrino sources (Loeb & Waxman 2006), given that they are strong CR sources and that the high density ISM and high magnetic field lead to high efficiency of CR energy loss by *pp* collisions. CRs at $\lesssim$ 100 PeV may significantly lose their energy (Loeb & Waxman 2006). It is noticed that the IC neutrino flux is well consistent with the Waxman-Bahcall bound (Waxman & Bahcall 1999), which may suggest that all the CR energy is lost in pion production.

Fermi-LAT has detected several SBGs in $0.1-100$ GeV range(Ackermann et al. 2012b). By comparing with their SFRs estimated by radio and far infrared detections, we have the γ ray luminosity and SFR relation in SBGs (Ackermann et al. 2012b; Katz et al. 2013)

$$\nu L_\nu({\rm GeV})/{\rm SFR} \approx 10^{46}{\rm erg}/M_\odot \quad (14)$$

(where $L_{0.1-100{\rm GeV}} \sim 7\nu L_\nu({\rm GeV})$ is used). Assuming this relation to be universal, the GeV γ-ray production rate density in the local universe is $E_\gamma^2 Q_\gamma({\rm GeV}) = \rho_{\rm SFR}(\nu L_\nu({\rm GeV})/{\rm SFR}) \approx 1.5\times10^{44}{\rm erg\,yr^{-1}Mpc^{-3}}$. The GeV γ-ray intensity (without attenuation) is $E_\gamma^2\Phi_\gamma({\rm GeV}) = \xi_z t_{\rm H}(c/4\pi)E_\gamma^2 Q_\gamma({\rm GeV}) \approx 3.4\times10^{-7}(\xi_z/3){\rm GeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}}$. The neutrino and γ-ray connection in *pp* collisions leads to the neutrino intensity in GeV range of $E_\nu^2\Phi_\nu(0.5{\rm GeV}) = (1/2)E_\gamma^2\Phi_\gamma({\rm GeV}) = 1.7\times10^{-7}(\xi_z/3){\rm GeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}}$, which is one order of magnitude higher than the IC detected flux at PeV scale. However, as suggested by CR confinement time ($\propto E_p^{-0.5}$) and CR spectral slope ($\propto E_p^{-2.7}$) measurement of CRs in MW, the spectrum of injected CRs may be $dn_p/dE_p \propto E_p^{-2.2}$. If the CRs lost most of their energy in *pp* interactions then the neutrino spectral slope follows that of the CRs, and the neutrino flux at PeV scale extrapolated from GeV range is

$$E_\nu^2\Phi_\nu \approx 10^{-8}\frac{\xi_z}{3}\left(\frac{E_\nu}{1{\rm PeV}}\right)^{-0.2}{\rm GeV\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}}. \quad (15)$$

consistent with IC detection. Moreover, two SBGs, NGC253 and M82, have been detected in TeV range, which show TeV flux lower than GeV one by about one order of magnitude (Ackermann et al. 2012b). Thus *Fermi*-LAT observations suggest that neutrinos from *pp* interaction in SBGs may account for the IC detection.

The calculation above does not consider that the local SFR density is dominated by normal star forming galaxies other than SBGs. However it is suggested in observations that most of the stars in the universe formed in SBGs at high redshift $z\sim2-4$ (Reddy et al. 2005; Juneau et al. 2005), thus the neutrino production is dominated by SBGs at $z\gtrsim2$. The above calculation is available since the local neutrino production does not contribute significantly to the total neutrino flux.

4. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

Using *Fermi*-LAT observations and with the neutrino and γ-ray connection, we have constrained the origin of IC neutrinos. The main conclusions are below:

First, the diffuse Galactic neutrino emission from CR propagation in MW cannot account for the IC detected neutrino flux if the CR spectral slope $\propto E_p^{-2.75}$ is universal in MW. In order to account for the IC neutrinos at PeV scale, the DGE spectral slope at >100 GeV should be harder than $\Gamma\sim-2.3$.

We obtain that the upper limit to the diffuse Galactic neutrino flux at 60 TeV is ~3 times lower than the IC excess by considering that the total *Fermi*-LAT detected emission is from *pp* interactions. However, *pp* interactions only contribute a fraction of the DGE. By the modeling of Ackermann et al. (2012a), the π^0-decay photons contribute to $\sim1/3$ of the total LAT flux in the "local" and "outer Galaxy", and $\sim1/2$ of the total LAT flux in the "inner Galaxy". The expected diffuse Galactic neutrino flux should be at least a factor of ~2 lower than the upper limit we obtain, so the diffuse Galactic neutrino flux may contribute to $\sim10\%$ of the IC detected neutrino flux.

A study of the Galactic latitude distribution of the detected neutrinos would be more powerful test than only considering the total neutrino flux, but needs much more statistics of neutrino events. Future IC detection of the latitude distribution should be compared with the prediction of Stecker (1979). On the other hand, the detection of diffuse PeV photons would be more direct test (Ahlers & Murase 2013), other than extrapolation of γ-ray spectrum from GeV to PeV scale. However, the current TeV-PeV photon detections only cover limited parts of the sky, e.g., biased in the Northern Hemisphere, in contrast to *Fermi*-LAT's deep survey of the whole sky.

Second, the high energy γ-ray point sources in MW cannot account for the IC excess, unless the γ-ray spectra of these sources at >100 GeV is unexpectedly harder than a flat spectrum with photon index $\Gamma=-2$.

The point source spectral indices beyond 100 GeV are the main uncertainty. However, photons from some types of sources, such as pulsars, are not hadronic dominant at 100GeV, which further reduces the expected

flux from point sources. Moreover, the Galactic point sources concentrate on the Galactic disk, very different from the sky location of IC neutrinos, which is consistent with isotropic distribution. It should also be mentioned that although the neutrino flux from Galactic sources can hardly reach IC excess, both are in the same order of magnitude, suggesting that the Galactic sources may contribute to a fraction of IC neutrinos.

Again, the Galactic latitude distribution of detected neutrinos is more powerful and straightforward test to the Galactic point source origin. The IC-detected neutrinos arriving from high Galactic latitudes seem to disfavor the Galactic point source origin, but more detections of neutrinos in the future are required to study the latitude distribution with high confidence level.

Third, neutrino productions in GRB jets may not account for the IC neutrino flux. This is based on the assumption that in GRBs the neutrino flux is proportional to the γ-ray flux. We have used the LAT observations of GRB GeV emission to constrain neutrino flux. As time goes by, IC collects more observational results on GRBs, the constraint on GRB neutrino will be more and more stringent.

Our method is applied to the classic GRBs with the common picture that the neutrino production occurs in the region where the main burst of MeV γ-rays are produced, e.g., the internal shock region (Waxman & Bahcall 1997). Thus we do not constrain the neutrino production when the jet is still deep inside the GRB progenitor (Mészáros & Waxman 2001). Murase & Ioka (2013) find that low-power jets inside progenitors of GRBs may produce higher flux of TeV-PeV neutrinos. It would be important to measure the emissivity in the universe by more observations of these "low power GRBs".

Forth, *Fermi*-LAT observation suggests that AGN jets may produce neutrino flux as high as the IC flux. However, AGN jets may have difficulty in explaining the flat spectrum from tens TeV to few PeV detected by IC. AGN jets may not account for the tens-TeV neutrinos detected by IC, and the future EeV neutrino experiments would be important to test the predicted AGN neutrino flux.

For the AGN jet produced neutrinos to reach the IC detected flux, their local universe CR generation rate should be $10-100$ times larger than the local UHE CR emissivity, because of the low photopion production efficiency (Murase et al. 2014; Dermer et al. 2014). This is in contradiction with the consistency between IC detected neutrino flux and Waxman-Bahcall bound, unless that CR energy production rate decreases sharply by $10-100$ times from ~ 100 PeV to $\sim 10^{19}$eV.

Finally, we use the *Fermi*-LAT detections of individual SBGs to constrain the PeV neutrino flux from SBGs, and find that they can account for the IC excess. Liu et al. (2013) have considered the neutrino emission from star forming galaxies, including SBGs, to explain the IC neutrinos. They use a more specific model, instead of the γ-ray and neutrino connection as we emphasize here.

It should be noted that Waxman & Bahcall (1999) have derived an maximum diffuse neutrino flux by assuming all CRs lose energy in pion productions and normalizing the neutrino flux to the UHE CR production rate density. The 60TeV-2PeV neutrino flux detected by IC turns out to match the predicted Waxman-Bahcall bound, which implies that the CRs in $1-100$ PeV is the same component as the UHE CRs (Katz et al. 2013) and all the CR energy is lost in pion production.[8]

As there is no bright AGNs in the local universe within the UHE CR energy loss length (~ 100 Mpc; due to interaction with cosmic microwave background photons), GRBs are the more promising sources for UHE CRs. Thus, a likely explanation to the IC neutrinos is that the CRs corresponding to the IC neutrinos are also produced by GRBs. These CRs do not lose significant fraction of their energy in GRB jets, as constrained by *Fermi*-LAT and IC observations of GRBs, but they lose most energy after escaping from GRB jets and propagate in GRB host galaxies, which are mostly SBGs. Future deeper observations of high energy γ-rays and neutrinos from individual GRBs and SBGs by, e.g., CTA and IC, etc, can test this interpretation (e.g., Aartsen et al. 2014b).

The authors thank the referee for helpful comments, and A. W. Strong and G. Jóhannesson for helpful discussions. This work is supported by NSFC (11273005, 11203067), SRFDP (20120001110064), the 973 Program (2014CB845800), Yunnan Natural Science Foundation (2011FB115), and the West Light Foundation of CAS.

REFERENCES

Aartsen, M. G., Abbasi, R., Abdou, Y., et al. 2013, Phys. Rev. Lett., 111, 021103
Aartsen, M. G., Ackermann, M., Adams, J., et al. 2014a, arXiv:1405.5303
Aartsen, M. G., Ackermann, M., et al. 2014b, arXiv:1406.6757
Abbasi, R., Abdou, Y., Abu-Zayyad, T., et al. 2012, Nature, 484, 351
Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Atwood, W., et al. 2012a, ApJ, 750, 3
Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., et al. 2012b, ApJ, 755, 164
Ahlers, M. & Murase, K. 2013, arXiv:1309.4077
Ajello, M., Shaw, M. S., Romani, R. W., et al. 2012, ApJ, 751, 108
Anchordoqui, L. A., Barger, V., Cholis, I. et al. 2013, arXiv:1312.6587
Anchordoqui, L. A., Goldberg, H., Lynch, M. H., et al. 2013, arXiv:1306.5021
Chomiuk, L., & Povich, M. S. 2011, AJ, 142, 197
Dermer, C. D., Murase, K., & Inoue, Y. 2014, arXiv:1406.2633
Fox, D. B., Kashiyama, K., & Mészarós, P. 2013, ApJ, 774, 74
Gruppioni, C., et al. 2013, arXiv:1302.5209
Guo, Y. Q., Hu, H. B., Yuan, Q., Tian, Z., & Gao, X. J. 2013, arXiv:1312.7616
Gupta, N. 2013, arXiv:1305.4123
He, H.-N., Wang, T., Fan, Y.-Z., Liu, S.-M., & Wei, D.-M. 2013, Phys. Rev. D, 87, 063011
Hopkins, A. M., & Beacom, J. F. 2006, ApJ, 651, 142
IceCube Collaboration 2013, Science, 342, 1
Joshi, J. C., Winter, W., & Gupta, N. 2014, MNRAS, 439, 3414
Juneau, S., Glazebrook, K., Crampton, D., et al. 2005, ApJ, 619, L135
Kalashev, O. E., Kusenko, A., & Essey, W. 2013, Phys. Rev. Lett., 111, 041103

[8] Liu et al. (2013) do not need CRs losing all their energy inside the galaxies, because they do not normalize the CR flux in $1-100$ PeV range to the observed UHE CR one, i.e., they are different CR components of different origins in the universe. The consistency of the IC neutrino flux with the Waxman-Bahcall bound happens to be so.

Katz, B., Waxman, E., Thompson, T., & Loeb, A. 2013, arXiv:1311.0287
Laha, R., Beacom, J.F., Dasgupta, B., Horiuchi, S., & Murase, K. 2013, Phys. Rev. D, 88, 043009
Li, Z. 2013, ApJ, 770, L40
Lien, A., Sakamoto, T., Gehrels, N., et al. 2014, ApJ, 783, 24
Liu, R.-Y., & Wang, X.-Y. 2013, ApJ, 766, 73
Liu, R.-Y., Wang, X.-Y., Inoue, S., Crocker, R., & Aharonian, F. 2013, arXiv:1310.1263
Loeb, A., & Waxman, E. 2006, JCAP, 5, 3
Lunardini, C., Razzaque, S., Theodoseau, K. T., & Yang, L. 2013, arXiv:1311.7188
Meegan, C., Lichti, G., Bhat, P. N., et al. 2009, ApJ, 702, 791
Mészáros, P., & Waxman, E. 2001, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87, 171102
Murase, K., Ahlers, M., & Lacki, B. C. 2013, Phys. Rev. D, 88, 121301
Murase, K., & Ioka, K. 2013, Phys. Rev. Lett., 111, 121102
Murase, K., Inoue, Y., & Dermer, C. D. 2014, arXiv:1403.4089
Nagano, M., & Watson,A. A. 2000, Reviews of Modern Physics, 72, 689
Neronov, A., Semikoz, D. V., & Tchernin, C. 2013, arXiv:1307.2158
Nolan, P. L. et al. 2012, ApJS, 199, 31
Particle Data Group 2012, Phys. Rev. D, 86, 010001
Razzaque S. 2013, arXiv:1310.5123
Reddy, N. A., Erb, D. K., Steidel, C. C., et al. 2005, ApJ, 633, 748
Roulet, E. , Sigl, G., van Vliet, A., & Mollerach, S. 2013, JCAP, 1301, 028
Sargent, M. T., Béthermin, M., Daddi, E., & Elbaz, D. 2012, ApJ, 747, L31
Stecker, F. W. 1979, ApJ, 228, 919
Stecker, F. W. 2013, Phys. Rev. D, 88, 047301
Taylor, A. M., Gabici, S., & Aharonian, F. 2014, arXiv:1403.3206
Tamborra, I., Ando, S., & Murase, K. 2014, JCAP, 9, 43
Waxman, E. 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett., 75, 386
Waxman, E., & Bahcall, J. 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett., 78, 2292
Waxman, E., & Bahcall, J. 1999, Phys. Rev. D, 59, 023002
Winter, W. 2013, Phys. Rev. D, 88, 083007